	Application No.	Applicant(s)	80 /
	09/478,682	KOLAWA ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ted T. Vo	2122	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-18) HOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.33	IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED I 35) or other appropriate comm RIGHTS. This application is:	n this application. If not include unication will be mailed in due	ded e course. THIS
			
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-37</u> .			
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Exam	iner.		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have a copies of the priority documents have a copies of the priority documents have a copies of the certified copies of the priority International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DAT noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDO THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be sure information in the complete of the copies of the priority which is completed by the Notice of Draftsparkers and including changes required by the Notice of Draftsparkers and including changes required by the attached Examinary Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CF each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such attached Examinary's comment regarding REQUIREMENT.	ave been received. ave been received in Application documents have been received. E" of this communication to fill NMENT of this application. bmitted. Note the attached EX gives reason(s) why the oath of the submitted. Derson's Patent Drawing Review (2003). Der's Amendment / Comment of the header according to 37 C eposit of BIOLOGICAL MAT	on No ed in this national stage applicated in this national stage applicated are properties. AMINER'S AMENDMENT or or declaration is deficient. W (PTO-948) attached or in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the TR 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted.	equirements NOTICE OF
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposor of Biological Material	8) 6. ☐ Interview S Paper No BB/08), 7. ☐ Examiner's Sit 8. ☑ Examiner's 9. ☐ Other	Informal Patent Application (Programmary (PTO-413), Mail Date S Amendment/Comment S Statement of Reasons for All Comment S Statement of Reasons for All Comment Tony Najurj	llowance
	AN	ITONY NGUYEN-BA RIMARY EXAMINER	

Application/Control Number: 09/478,682

Art Unit: 2122

1. The amendment and arguments filed on 7/06/04 to independent Claims 1, 19, 32 and 37, have been fully considered. The amendment and the arguments overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art of record, Cline, US No. 5,313,616 (Claims 1-14,19-27,32-35,37) and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cline (US No. 5,313,616) in view of the prior art of record, Beizer, "Software Testing Techniques" (Claims 15,28,36).

Reasons for Allowance

2. Claims 1-37 are allowed.

Prior art of record, Cline, discloses a method for testing and verifying the conformance of an application program that includes means scanning an application program for identifying procedure calls in the source text. In response to identifying each procedure call encountering in the application program, it generates a stub for the procedure as part of an instrumented program. The instrumented program is compiled to produce an instrumented executable binary file when run to output testing.

Prior art of record, Beizer discloses a software testing technique by building a program model independently from the program to represent the program behavior, and running the built model in order to understand the behavior of the program, and thus a user can modify the program.

However, as pointed out by Applicants in referring to independent Claims 1, 32, 37 that Cline does not teach or suggest "parsing a source code of the computer program to identify behavior of an external function called by a function under test in the source code", where Applicants point out the "stub" is different from an external function called by a function under test because the Cline's "stubs" are for calling the code to certify (remarks: page 10, last four lines and page 11, lines 1-4), and the "stubs" are simply monitoring code (remarks: page 12, lines 3-6). As further pointed out by Applicant that Cline does not teach "generating a new function to be called by the function under test in the source code for mimicking some of the identified behavior of the external function " (remarks: page 12, lines 14-17) because the assembly code in the Cline's tables does not suggest "mimicking some of the identified behavior" (remarks: page 12, lines 23-25).

Furthermore, as pointed out by Applicants in referring to independent Claim 19 that the "stubs" of Cline do not emulate some of the identified behavior of a smaller components (remarks: page 15, line 8-12), and Cline does not teach *replacing one or more of the plurality of smaller components with one or more of respective generated stubs* because Cline's teaching is "adding monitoring code" (remarks: page 15, line 13-20).

Therefore, the following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The cited prior arts taken alone or in combination fail to teach the claims to a method, a system, and a computer readable medium for testing a computer program comprising at least features,

"parsing a source code of the computer program to identify behavior of an external function called by a function under test in the source code;

responsive to the identified behavior of the function under test, generating a new function to be called by the function under test in the source code for mimicking some of the identified behavior of the external function", as recited in such manners in independent Claims 1, 32, and 37; and so as,

"replacing one or more of the plurality of smaller components with one or more of respective generated stubs;

testing the plurality of smaller components individually with the generated stubs emulating some of the identified behavior, wherein the generated stubs have the same signature as the respective replaced one or more of the plurality of smaller components", as recited in such manners in independent Claim 19.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted T. Vo whose telephone number is (703) 308-9049. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (703) 305-4552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/478,682

Art Unit: 2122

After October 25, 2004, examiner can be reached at new telephone number (571) 272-3706 and the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3694.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTV Patent Examiner AU 2122 September 14, 2004

ANTONY NGUYEN-BA PRIMARY EXAMINER